

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/705,733	11/06/2000		Kuan-Chou Chen	WOO 108	7861
23995	7590	03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
RABIN & E				BRATLIE,	STEVEN A
1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3652	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/705 733	CHENETal						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	BRATLIE	3652						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Périod for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The	nis action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) /-/7 is/are pending in the application.								
7	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) /-// is/are rejected.								
Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) — Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
(a) [All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
Certified copies of the priority docume		etion No						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(6) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
U.S. Patent and Tradomark Office	Action Summary	TLAD Land PAPEL No. 3						



Application/Control Number: 09/705,733

Art Unit: 3653

1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are:

page 8 Lines 14-17 in accurates

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams, et al in view of Gordon, et al and Nering, et al.

Williams et al disclose a substantially similar FOUP unloader in Fig. 8. Note clamp #124 and door remover #126. Williams et al lack screw actuation. Screw actuation is disclosed by element #72 of Gordon et al and by Nering et al. It would have

DEST AVAILABLE COPY

Art Unit: 3653

been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the primary reference with screw actuation. The motivation is the known use of equivalent5.

- 5. The remaining references are cited to show similar structure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Bratlie/vs March 15, 2004 STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stever a. Brathe